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#### Abstract

In this paper, sharp radii constants are obtained for the analytic functions satisfying some coefficient inequalities. For such functions, growth and distortion estimates are determined. In addition, it is proved that functions in these classes are closed under Hadamard product with convex functions.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ be the open unit disk. The well-known Bieberbach conjecture of 1916, proved by Branges (1985) states that an analytic univalent function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the sharp inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ for all $n \geq 2$. The converse does not hold. For example, the function $f(z)=2 z-z /(1-z)^{2}=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n z^{n}$ is non-univalent. Therefore, the inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ for $n \geq 2$ is not a sufficient condition for a function $f$ to be univalent. In 1970, for the analytic functions $f$ of the form (1), satisfying the coefficient inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$, Gavrilov (1970) has shown that the radius of univalence is $r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is the real root of the equation $2(1-r)^{3}-(1+r)=0$. This result is sharp for function $f(z)=$ $2 z-z /(1-z)^{2}$. In addition, Gavrilov showed that the radius of univalence of the function $f$ satisfying $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M(M>0)$ is $1-\sqrt{M /(1+M)}$. In 1982, Yamashita (1982) proved that the radius of univalence, obtained by Gavrilov is equal to radius of starlikeness for the corresponding function. Kalaj et al. (2014) determined the radius of univalence, starlikeness, and convexity for harmonic functions. For $0 \leq b \leq 1$, let $\mathcal{A}_{b}$ denote the class of functions given by (1) with fixed second coefficient $\left|a_{2}\right|=2 b$. Ravichandran (2014) obtained the sharp radius of starlikeness and convexity of order $\alpha$ for the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_{b}$, satisfying the coefficient inequalities $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n, M$, or $M / n(M>0)$ for $n \geq 3$. Nargesi et al. (2014) obtained similar sharp radius constants for function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{b}$ satisfying the coefficient inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq c n+d$ and $c / n(c>0)$. Sharma and Ankita (2015) also determined radius estimates for functions in a Janowski type class, satisfying certain coefficient inequalities. Recently, Mendiratta et al. (2015) determined the sharp radii of starlikeness of order $\alpha$, convexity of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$, parabolic starlikeness and uniform convexity when $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M / n^{2}$ or $M n^{2}(M>0)$ for $n \geq 3$.

A function $f$ of the form (1) is $\alpha$-convex (see Goodman (1983)) if it is analytic, $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) / z \neq 0$ and satisfies the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)+(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In particular, for $\alpha=1$ and $\alpha=0, \alpha$-convex functions become convex and starlike respectively. For details of $\alpha$-convex functions, see Miller et al. (1973), Mocanu and Reade (1975), Noor (1996).

In the present paper, we consider the class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ of analytic functions defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{k}:=\left\{f: f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \text { is analytic in } \mathbb{D} \text { and }\left|a_{n}\right| \leq c n^{k}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ and some $c>0$. The radius of $\alpha$-convexity is determined for the class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$. For any value of $\beta$, the sharp radii constants are obtained for a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$ that satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$. For functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$, bounds for $|f(z) / z|$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$ are determined. Further, closure properties of the class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ under convolution with convex function are investigated.

## 2. Radius constants

First, the sharp radius of $\alpha$ - convexity is determined for analytic functions $f$ of the form (1) to be in the classes $\mathcal{S}_{k}$, for $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and let the function $f$ be defined by (1).
(a) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then $f$ is $\alpha$-convex in the disk $|z|<r_{1}$, where $r_{1}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1+2(\alpha c+4 c+3) r-\left(16 c^{2}+30 c+15\right) r^{2}+2\left(-3 \alpha c^{2}-3 \alpha c+12 c^{2}+22 c\right. \\
& +10) r^{3}+\left(4 \alpha c^{2}+4 \alpha c-17 c^{2}-32 c-15\right) r^{4}+(c+1)^{2}(6-r) r^{5}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$, then $f$ is $\alpha$-convex in the disk $|z|<r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-r((3 \alpha+2) c+5)+r^{2}\left(4 \alpha c^{2}+5(\alpha+2) c+10\right) \\
& \quad-r^{3}(c+1)((\alpha+6) c+10)-(c+1) r^{4}((\alpha-5) c-5)-(c+1)^{2} r^{5}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}$, then $f$ is $\alpha$-convex in the disk $|z|<r_{-1}$, where $r_{-1}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
(1-r)+\frac{c(1-\alpha)(r+(1-r) \log (1-r))}{(1+c) r+c \log (1-r)}+\frac{c \alpha r}{(c+1)(1-r)-c}=0 .
$$

(d) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$, then $f$ is $\alpha$-convex in the disk $|z|<r_{2}$, where $r_{2}$ is a root of
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the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1+4((\alpha+4) c+2) r-2\left((37-2 \alpha) c+32 c^{2}+14\right) r^{2}-4\left((9 \alpha-20) c^{2}\right. \\
& +4(2 \alpha-9) c-14) r^{3}+\left((32 \alpha-89) c^{2}+2(16 \alpha-79) c-70\right) r^{4}-4(c+1) \\
& \quad((\alpha-14) c-14) r^{5}-2(c+1)((2 \alpha+13) c+14) r^{6}+8(c+1)^{2} r^{7} \\
& \quad-(c+1)^{2} r^{8}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}$, then $f$ is $\alpha$-convex in the disk $|z|<r_{-2}$, where $r_{-2}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
(1-r)+\frac{c(1-\alpha)(1-r)\left(\log (1-r)+L i_{2}(r)\right)}{(1+c) r-c L i_{2}(r)}-\frac{c \alpha(r+(1-r) \log (1-r))}{(1+c) r+c \log (1-r)}=0
$$

where $L i_{2}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the polylogarithm function of order 2 given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L i_{2}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n} / n^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results are sharp.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}=\alpha\left(1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)+(1-\alpha) z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ and $\mathbb{D}(a, R)=$ $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z-a|<R\}$. In particular, $\mathbb{D}(0,1)=\mathbb{D}$.
(a) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}-1\right| \leq & (1-\alpha) \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n-1}}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n-1}}+\alpha \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n-1}}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n-1}} \\
\leq & (1-\alpha) \frac{c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}-c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}}{1-c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}} \\
& +\alpha \frac{c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{3} r^{n-1}-c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}}{1-c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} r^{n-1}} \\
= & (1-\alpha) \frac{2 c r}{(1-r)\left[(1+c) r^{2}-(2+2 c) r+1\right]} \\
& +\alpha \frac{2 c r^{2}+4 c r}{(1-r)\left[(-1-c) r^{3}+3(1+c) r^{2}+(-3-4 c) r+1\right]}=R_{1}(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}\right)>1-R_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{1}$.
The result is sharp for the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(z)=(1+c) z-\frac{c z}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the function $f_{0}(z)$, we have $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}=1-R_{1}(z)$ and so, at $z=r_{1}$, $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}=1-R_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$ and hence the radius of $\alpha$ - convexity is sharp.
(b) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T} \in \mathbb{D}\left(1, R_{0}\right)$, where

$$
R_{0}=\frac{c(1-\alpha) r}{(1-r)((1-r(1+c))}+\frac{2 c r \alpha}{(1-r)\left((1-r)^{2}-c r(2-r)\right)},
$$

we see that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}\right)>1-R_{0}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{0}$. This result is sharp for the function

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{c z^{2}}{1-z}
$$

(c) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T} \in \mathbb{D}\left(1, R_{-1}\right)$, where

$$
R_{-1}=\frac{c(1-\alpha)(r+(1-r) \log (1-r))}{(1-r)((1+c) r+c \log (1-r))}+\frac{\alpha c r}{(1-r)((1+c)(1-r)-c)},
$$

it is easily seen that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}\right)>1-R_{-1}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{-1}$. The result is sharp by considering the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(z)=z-c z-c \log (1-z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T} \in \mathbb{D}\left(1, R_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2}= & \frac{2 c(1-\alpha) r(r+2)}{(1-r)\left((1+c)(1-r)^{3}-c(1+r)\right)} \\
& \quad+\frac{c \alpha\left(2 r^{3}+14 r^{2}+8 r\right)}{(1-r)\left((1+c)(1-r)^{4}-c\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

it is easily seen that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}\right)>1-R_{2}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{2}$. The result is sharp by considering the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(z)=z-c z^{2}\left(z^{2}-3 z+4\right) /(1-z)^{3} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T} \in \mathbb{D}\left(1, R_{-2}\right)$, where
$R_{-2}=-\frac{c(1-\alpha)\left(\log (1-r)+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(r)\right)}{\left((1+c) r-c \operatorname{Li}_{2}(r)\right)}+\frac{c \alpha(r+(1-r) \log (1-r))}{(1-r)((1+c) r+c \log (1-r))}$,
it is easy to deduce that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha S T}\right)>1-R_{-2}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{2}$. The result is sharp for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(z)=z-c\left(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)-z\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by the work of Mendiratta et al. (2015), we close this section by radii constants for functions belonging to $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ for $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2$.

Theorem 2.2. Let the function $f$ be defined by (1).
(a) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then $f$ satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$ in the disk $|z|<r_{\beta_{1}}$, where $r_{\beta_{1}}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
(1+c(|\beta|+|1-\beta|))(1-r)^{4}-c|\beta|\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)-c|1-\beta|\left(1-r^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

(b) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}$, then $f$ satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$ in the disk $|z|<r_{\beta_{-1}}$, where $r_{\beta_{-1}}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
(1+c(|\beta|+|1-\beta|))(1-r)^{2}-c|1-\beta|(1-r)-c|\beta|=0 .
$$

(c) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$, then $f$ satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$ in the disk $|z|<r_{\beta_{2}}$, where $r_{\beta_{2}}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+c(|\beta|+|1-\beta|))(1-r)^{5} & -c|\beta|\left(1+11 r+11 r^{2}+r^{3}\right) \\
& -c|1-\beta|\left(1-3 r-3 r^{2}-r^{3}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}$, then $f$ satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$ in the disk $|z|<r_{\beta_{-2}}$, where $r_{\beta_{-2}}$ is a real root of the equation

$$
\left(1+c|1-\beta| r(1-r)-c|\beta| r^{2}+c|1-\beta|(1-r) \log (1-r)\right)=0
$$

The results are sharp.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}=f^{\prime}(z)+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)$.
(a) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then a simple calculation yields $\left|\mathcal{F}_{\beta}-1\right| \leq R_{\beta_{1}}$ where

$$
R_{\beta_{1}}=-c(|\beta|+|1-\beta|)+\frac{c|\beta|\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)}{(1-r)^{4}}+\frac{c|1-\beta|(1+r)}{(1-r)^{3}}
$$

so that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}\right)>1-R_{\beta_{1}}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{\beta_{1}}$. The result is sharp for the function defined by (4).
Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$. Then $f$ is univalent in the disk $|z|<r_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is a root of the equation $(1+c)(1-r)^{3}-c(1+r)=0$ and $f$ satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\beta f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$ in the disk $|z|<r_{0}^{*}$, where $r_{0}^{*}$ is a root of the equation $(1+c)(1-r)^{4}-c\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)=0$. If we take $\beta=0$ and $c=1$, Theorem 2.2(a) simplifies to Yamashita, 1982, Theorem 1, p. 454).
(b) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}$, then a simple computation gives $\mathcal{F}_{\beta} \in \mathbb{D}\left(1, R_{\beta_{-1}}\right)$ where

$$
R_{\beta_{-1}}=-c(|\beta|+|1-\beta|)+\frac{c|\beta|}{(1-r)^{2}}+\frac{c|1-\beta|}{(1-r)} .
$$

Therefore it is easily seen that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}\right)>1-R_{\beta_{-1}}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{\beta_{-1}}$. Sharpness follows from the function defined by (5).
Further, a calculation shows if $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}$, then function $f$ is univalent in the disk $|z|<1 /(1+c)$.
(c) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$, then a calculation gives $\mathcal{F}_{\beta} \in \mathbb{D}\left(1, R_{\beta_{2}}\right)$ where

$$
R_{\beta_{2}}=-c(|\beta|+|1-\beta|)+\frac{c|\beta|\left(1+11 r+11 r^{2}+r^{3}\right)}{(1-r)^{5}}+\frac{c|1-\beta|\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)}{(1-r)^{4}},
$$

and, therefore, $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}\right)>1-R_{\beta_{2}}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{\beta_{2}}$. Sharpness follows from the function defined by (6).
(d) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{\beta} \in \mathbb{D}\left(1, R_{\beta_{-2}}\right)$ where

$$
R_{\beta_{-2}}=\frac{c|\beta|}{(1-r)}-\frac{c|1-\beta| \log (1-r)}{r}-c|1-\beta|,
$$

and therefore it is easy to deduce that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\beta}\right)>1-R_{\beta_{-2}}=0$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{\beta_{-2}}$. For sharpness, we consider the function defined by (7).

If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$, then $f$ is univalent in the disk $|z|<r_{0}$ where $r_{0}$ is a real root of the equation $(1+c)(1-r)^{4}-c\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)=0$ and if $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}$, we note that $f$ is univalent in the disk $|z|<r_{0}^{*}$ where $r_{0}^{*}$ is a real root of the equation $r+c(r+\log (1-r))=0$. Similarly it is easily seen that if $f \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$, then $f$ satisfies the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)>0$ in the disk $|z|<r_{c}$, where $r_{c}$ is a real root of the equation $(1+c)(1-r)^{3}-c(1+r)=0$. This result is sharp and we observe that the radius $r_{c}$ is equal to the radius of univalence, obtained by Gavrilov (1970) for the function $f$ with coefficient inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$.

## 3. Growth and distortion estimates

In this section, for the analytic function $f$ in the class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$, we compute sharp estimates of $|f(z) / z|$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $|z|=r<1$ and the function $f$ be given by (1).
(a) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then
(i) $(1+c)-\frac{c}{(1-r)^{2}} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) \leq\left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right| \leq(1-c)+\frac{c}{(1-r)^{2}}$;
(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((c+1) r^{3}\right. & \left.-3(c-1) r^{2}+(4 c+3) r-1\right) /(r-1)^{3} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \\
& \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left((1-c) r^{3}+3(c-1) r^{2}+(3-4 c) r-1\right) /(r-1)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}$, then
(i) $(1+c)+\frac{c}{r} \log (1-r) \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) \leq\left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right| \leq(1-c)-\frac{c}{r} \log (1-r)$;
(ii) $(1-(1+c) r) /(1-r) \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq(1+(c-1) r) /(1-r)$.
(c) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$, we have
(i) $(1+c)-\frac{c(1+r)}{(1-r)^{3}} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) \leq\left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right| \leq(1-c)+\frac{c(1+r)}{(1-r)^{3}}$;
(ii) $(1+c)-c \frac{\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)}{(1-r)^{4}} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq(1-c)+c \frac{\left(1+4 r+r^{2}\right)}{(1-r)^{4}}$.
(d) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}$, we have the following estimates in terms of polylogarithm function of order 2 defined by (3):
(i) $(1+c)-c L i_{2}(r) / r \leq \operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z) \leq|f(z) / z| \leq(1-c)+c L i_{2}(r) / r$;
(ii) $(1+c)+c \log (1-r) / r \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq(1-c)-c \log (1-r) / r$.
(e) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$, then
(i) $(1+c)-c /(1-r) \leq \operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z) \leq|f(z) / z| \leq(1-c)+c /(1-r)$;
(ii) $(1+c)-c /(1-r)^{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq(1-c)+c /(1-r)^{2}$.

All the estimates are sharp.

Proof. Let $|z|=r<1$.
(a) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then the upper bound for $|f(z) / z|$ is given by

$$
\left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right| \leq 1+c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}=(1-c)+\frac{c}{(1-r)^{2}}
$$

and the lower bound for $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) \geq 1-c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n r^{n-1}=(1+c)-\frac{c}{(1-r)^{2}}
$$

which completes part (i). Similarly, $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq(1-c)+c(1+r) /(1-r)^{3}$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \geq(1+c)-c(1+r) /(1-r)^{3}$ prove second part. For the upper bound, the sharpness follows for the function $f_{0}(z)=$ $(1-c) z+c z /(1-z)^{2}$ and for the lower bound, the sharpness follows for the function defined by (4).
(b) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-1}$, then $|f(z) / z| \leq 1+c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(r^{n-1} / n\right)=1+c(-1-\log (1-r) / r)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z) \geq 1-c(-1-\log (1-r) / r)$ prove part (i). Similarly $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 1+c r / 1-r$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \geq 1-c r /(1-r)$ prove part (ii). For the lower bound, the result is sharp for the function defined by 5 and for upper bound, result is sharp for the function $g_{0}(z)=$ $z+c z+c \log (1-z)$.
(c) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$, then $|f(z) / z| \leq 1+c\left(-1+1+r /(1-r)^{3}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z) \geq$ $1-c\left(-1+1+r /(1-r)^{3}\right)$ yield part (i). Similarly

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 1+c\left(\frac{1+4 r+r^{2}}{(1-r)^{4}}-1\right)
$$

and $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \geq 1-c\left(1+4 r+r^{2} /(1-r)^{4}-1\right)$ give the part (ii). For upper bound, sharpness follows for the function $f_{0}(z)=z+$ $c z^{2}\left(z^{2}-3 z+4\right) /(1-z)^{3}$ and for lower bound, sharpness follows for the function defined by (6).
(d) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{-2}$, we have $|f(z) / z| \leq 1+c\left(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(r) / r-1\right)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z) \geq$ $1-c\left(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(r) / r-1\right)$, part (i) follow. A similar calculation leads to the upper and lower bounds for $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$. For upper bound, sharpness follows for the function $f_{0}(z)=z+c\left(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)-z\right)$ and for lower bound, sharpness follows for the function defined by (7).
(e) Proof is similar to previous parts.

## 4. Closure theorems

For two analytic functions $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, the Hadamard product or convolution of $f$ and $g$, is defined by $(f * g)(z)=z+$ $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}, z \in \mathbb{D}$ (see Ruscheweyh (1982)). The following theorem proves that the class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ is closed under Hadamard product with convex functions.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ satisfy the coefficient inequality $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq 1$ for $n \geq 2$. Then $f * g \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$. In particular, if $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$ and $g$ belongs to the class of convex functions, then $f * g \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$, then $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq c n^{k}$. Since $(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}$ where $\left|a_{n} b_{n}\right| \leq c n^{k}$, it follows that $f * g \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$.

The last theorem shows that the class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ is closed under convex combinations of its members.

Theorem 4.2. Let $0 \leq \lambda_{j} \leq 1$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots m$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j}=1$. Let the functions $f_{j}$ defined by $f_{j}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n, j} z^{n} \quad(j=1,2,3, \ldots m)$ belong to the class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} f_{j} \in \mathcal{S}_{k}$.

Proof. Since $f_{j} \in \mathcal{S}_{k},\left|a_{n, j}\right| \leq c n^{k}$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots m$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} f_{j}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j}\left(z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n, j} z^{n}\right) \\
&=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{1} a_{n, 1}+\lambda_{2} a_{n, 2}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} a_{n, m}\right) z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|\lambda_{1} a_{n, 1}+\lambda_{2} a_{n, 2}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} a_{n, m}\right| \leq \lambda_{1}\left|a_{n, 1}\right|+\lambda_{2}\left|a_{n, 2}\right|+\cdots+\lambda_{m}\left|a_{n, m}\right| \leq$ $c n^{k}$.

Corollary 4.1. The class $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ is closed under convex combinations.
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